

Annexure I
FORM – IIA

Quarterly Summary for Appellate Authorities for (Public authority) for quarter ending 30.06.2008.

Sl No.	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No. of Appeals received during the Quarter	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Decisions Received from CIC		
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005														No. of Decisions received During the Quarter	No. of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections						Total
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	Other			
1	Sri. P K Mishra Director (Operations) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam – 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
2	Sri. Y Manohar Director (Personnel) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
3	Sri. C G Patil Director (Commercial) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
4	Sri. K S Shankar Director (Finance) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
5	Sri. M V R Sarma E. D. (CA & S) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
6	Sri. S Srinivasan, IAS Chief Vigilance Officer Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-

The Progress report in respect of RTI – 2005 for the period from 01.04.2008 to 30.06.2008

(a)	Number of requests received by each authority.	28
(b)	Number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access the document pursuant to the requests, the provisions of act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	NIL
(c)	The number of appeals referred to central Information Commission for review, the nature of appeals and the outcome of appeals.	<p>In one case, an appeal was made to the CIC by the information seeker for the rejection of his request by CPIO as the information was sought from the office bearer (Secretary) of a Council / Organization under its letter head. Information seeker was called for a personal hearing before the Information Commissioner on 28.04.2008. After hearing from the Information seeker the CIC has given the following decision.</p> <p>“As the appellant had asked for the information on behalf of an organization, denial of information u/s of the Act is justified. The appellant is however, advised to seek information as per section 2(f) of the Act. The appeal is, thus disposed of “.</p>
(d)	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this act.	NIL
(e)	Amount of charges collected by each public authority under this act	Rs. 455/-
(f)	The details to indicate efforts made by the Public Authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this act:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The contents of the 17 manuals available in the RTI portal of the company website have been updated as per the mandatory requirement of section 4(1)(b) of the RTI Act. 2) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i) The list of the Nodal Officers and CAPIOs designated to assist the CPIO in furnishing the information, have been updated after the internal transfers. ii) With a view to facilitate the Nodal Officer in channelising the information to the CPIO, a few contact officers have been added to the existing 27 contact officers.

(g)	Suitable suggestions for reform including those required for development, improvement modernization, reform for the amendment of the Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the Right to access the Information	1) When any information sought is voluminous in nature, a lot of resources, man power and time are needed to compile and furnish the information to the seeker. In such cases a time period of 30 days is not sufficient for the public authority to undertake the entire job; thereby the statutory period of 30 days is getting lapsed by the time the information is furnished. Therefore the information is to be provided to the seeker free of cost. Keeping this constraint in view, it is suggested to enhance the time period from 30 days to 60 days for getting sufficient time to compile and furnish the information. There should be a limitation upto maximum 02 (two) no. of questions through a single application of RTI, as it has been found more no. of questions have been asked through a single application which requires lot of effort, resources, manpower and time to completely furnish the information with in the stipulated time.
-----	--	--