

**Annexure I**  
**FORM – IIA**

**Quarterly Summary for Appellate Authorities for (Public authority) for quarter ending 30.09.2008.**

Sl No.	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No. of Appeals received during the Quarter	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Decisions Received from CIC		
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005														No. of Decisions received During the Quarter	No. of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections						Total
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	Other			
1	Sri. P K Mishra Director (Operations) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam – 530031 (AP)	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	Nil	Nil
2	Sri. Y Manohar Director (Personnel) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	-	-	-	01	02	Nil	Nil	
3	Sri. C G Patil Director (Commercial) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Sri. K S Shankar Director (Finance) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	-	-	-	-	01	Nil	Nil	
5	Sri K Vidyasagar, IAS Chief Vigilance Officer Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	

**The Progress report in respect of RTI – 2005 for the period from 01.07.2008 to 30.09.2008**

(a)	Number of requests received by each authority.	37
(b)	Number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access the document pursuant to the requests, the provisions of act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	NIL
(c)	The number of appeals referred to central Information Commission for review, the nature of appeals and the outcome of appeals.	<p>In one case, an appeal was made to the CIC by the information seeker for receiving the incorrect information from CPIO. The appellant and CPIO were called for a personal hearing before the Information Commissioner on 04.09.2008. After hearing of the case in the absence of the appellant, CIC has given the following decision.</p> <p>“As there is no denial of information and that the appellant has not responded to the notice for hearing, it is presumed that he is no more interested in pursuing the matter. The appeal is thus disposed of “.</p>
(d)	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this act.	NIL
(e)	Amount of charges collected by each public authority under this act	Rs. 650/-
(f)	The details to indicate efforts made by the Public Authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this act:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The contents of the 17 manuals available in the RTI portal of the company website have been updated as per the mandatory requirement of section 4(1)(b) of the RTI Act.</li> <li>2) The list of Appellate Authorities designated to decide upon the appeal against the decision of CPIO in furnishing the information, has been updated in the company website, after assumption of the charge by Sri K Vidyasagar as CVO, RINL.</li> <li>3) With a view to facilitate in channelising the information to the CPIO, a few Nodal officers have been added to the existing 10 nodal officers.</li> </ol>

(g)	<p>Suitable suggestions for reform including those required for development, improvement modernization, reform for the amendment of the Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the Right to access the Information</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) No provision has been made in the Act for payment of postal charge in addition to fees for seeking information. Since a substantial amount is incurred by the public authorities towards forwarding the information to the information seeker, a minimum amount should be earmarked as the postal charge in the RTI Act towards furnishing the information to the seeker.</li> <li>2) Since there has been a remarkable improvement in the awareness among the public regarding the provision of the RTI Act, it is suggested to conduct Training programmes cum work shop on implementation of RTI Act for all the officers engaged in implementing the Act in a schedule. The training may be conducted at the level of the Information Commissioner, CIC, or by the concerned Ministry. Such training will clarify several doubts of the implementing officials and will make them more competent in dealing the matter on the Right to Information Act-2005.</li> <li>3) There should be a limitation upto maximum 02 (two) no. of questions through a single application of RTI, as it has been found more no. of questions have been asked through a single application which requires lot of effort, resources, manpower and time to completely furnish the information with in the stipulated time.</li> </ol>
-----	---	--