

## Annexure-I

## FORM-IIA

Quarterly summary of Appellate Authorities for (public Authority) for quarter ending September, 2006

Sl.no	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No of Appeals received during the Quarter	No of requests rejected during the quarter														Decisions received from CIC		
			Relevant section of RTI Act-2005														Number of decisions received during the quarter	Number of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections				total		
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	other			
1	Shri P K Bishnoi Director (Finance) Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Administrative Building Visakhapatnam-31 (A.P)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	
2	Shri P K Mishra Director(Operations) Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Administrative Building Visakhapatnam-31 (A.P)	1*																	
3	Shri K A Naidu Director (pers) Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Administrative Building Visakhapatnam-31 (A.P)	One	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	
4	Shri H S Chhatwal Director (Commercial) Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Administrative Building Visakhapatnam-31 (A.P)	One	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	
5	Shri M K Das Chief Vigilance Officer Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Administrative Building Visakhapatnam-31 (A.P)	One	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	

- Under disposal .

**Annexure - II**

**The Progress report in respect of RTI-2005 for the period from 01.07.2006 to 30.09.2006**

(a)	<i>Number of requests received by each authority.</i>	17
(b)	<i>Number of decisions where applications were not entitled to access the document pursuant to the requests, the provisions of the Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked</i>	NIL
(c)	<i>The number of appeals referred to Central Information Commission for review, the nature of appeals and the outcome of appeals</i>	01 In this case the information seeker had made an appeal to Central Information Commission against the decision of CPIO regarding non-furnishing of Personal Information pertaining to a third party. After hearing from both the parties (CPIO & Information Seeker) the appeal was disposed of by the Central Information Commissioner as 'un-necessary'
(d)	<i>Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this Act.</i>	NIL
(e)	<i>Amount of charges collected by each public Authority under this Act</i>	Rs. 552/-
(f)	<i>The details to indicate efforts made by the public Authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act:</i>	With a view to administering and implementing the Act in true spirit, the following actions have been taken by RINL/VSP:- 1) Copies of letter No.F.No: 4(24)/2005-RTI Cell dtd 26/6/2006 from Shri L Ginkomang, under Secretary to the Govt. of India, were forwarded to all concerned in the organization on 10/7/2006 for dissemination of awareness - cum- assistance campaign on Right to Information Act-2005 launched by MoS.  2) In pursuance of instructions from MoS, the contact details of all Functional Directors and CVO who were designated as Appellate Authorities in their functional areas under the act was placed in RINL/VSP website on 04/8/2006.  3) The name of Shri Sukant Rath has been placed in RINL/VSP website on 04/8/06 as a part of updation on transfer of the earlier ACPIO from BSO,BBR.

4) Shri M K Das, IPS, Chief Vigilance officer of RINL/VSP was designated as Appellate Authority in respect of Vigilance department in matters relating to administration of Right to Information Act -2005, w.e.f 18/8/2006.

5) The RIA portal has since been updated by incorporating the name of Shri M K Das, IPS, Chief Vigilance officer as an Appellate Authority in respect of Vigilance Department under the Act on 21/8/2006.

6) An Office order was issued in the organization on 20/9/06 informing that Shri B S Satyendra, DCM (CC) was nominated as ACPIO at RINL/VSP Headquarters and Nodal officer from Corporate Communication Department in place of Shri C h V Somayajulu, DCM (CC).

7) Vide letter No. PL/RIA-2005/105/2006 dtd 26/9/06, RINL/VSP communicated to Ministry of Communication & IT, Department of Dak Bhawan the contact details of Shri BS Satyendra, DCM (CC) requesting them to place the same in their website in the wake of nomination of his name in place of Shri C H V Somayajulu , DCM (CC), as ACPIO at RINL/VSP Headquarters.

8) In response to letter No: 3-64/2005/PG dtd 14/8/06, from Ministry of Communications & IT, Department of posts, Dak Bhawan, the contact details of Nodal officer for RINL/VSP, CPIO and ACPIO at RINL/VSP Headquarters and that of 26 ACPIOs operating at outstation Marking offices as well as Mines Offices were forwarded on 13/09/06 vide letter No. PL/RIA/2005/2006/258 dtd 13/9/06.

9) Vide letter No. PL/RIA-2005/100/2006/M-89 dtd 19/9/06, the details of CPIO and Appellate Authorities in the corporate offices of RINL/VSP were forwarded to MoS (RIA Cell) against their letter No. F.No: 2(1)/2006 RI Cell dtd 5/9/06.

10) Vide letter No. PL/RTI-2005/2006/100 dtd 04/8/2006, the information in form-IIA on appeals disposed of along with the progress report for the quarter ending 30.6.2006 was forwarded to MoS(RIA – Cell) in reply to their letter F.No: 2(1)/2006-RI cell dtd 18/4/2006.

11) A lecture meeting – cum – workshop on RIA-2005 was organized at RINL/VSP on 23/9/06. The workshop was formally inaugurated by CMD and the functional Directors and CVO. Officials from VPT, HSL,BHPV, HPCL, NTPC ,

		<p>DCI, Airport Authority of India, Indian Airlines were also invited by RINL/VSP to the workshop. Prof. M M Ansari, Information commissioner from central Information commission, Govt. of India chaired the workshop. Many doubts as to implementation of the Act which were posed by the participants were clarified by the learned Information commissioner during the interactive session open for discussion and RINL/VSP expresses its gratitude to prof. MM Ansari, Information commissioner who graced the session despite his hectic schedule and RINL/VSP has benefited from the session.</p>
(g)	<p><i>Suitable suggestions for reform including those required for development, improvement Modernization, reform for the amendment of the Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the Right to access the Information.</i></p>	<p>1)In various discussions on provisions of the Act, apprehensions have been expressed that delinquent employees in the organization may use the provisions of the Act, to compel disclosure of information relating to annual confidential reports, proceedings of Departmental Promotion Committee etc., which may cause difficulties for the Organization.</p> <p>2)It has also been expressed that some delinquent employees may misuse the provisions of the Act to obtain information relating to other employees for causing embarrassment/discomfiture for them. This may bring in problem of inter-personal relations with in the organisation.</p> <p>3)Moreover, employees are governed under Service Rules of the organization, therefore , it may be considered whether some positive restraint can be introduced as rules under the Act so that the Act does not become a tool in the hands of such delinquent employees to serve own interests.</p>