

**Annexure III**  
**FORM – IIA**

**Quarterly Summary for Appellate Authorities for (Public authority) for quarter ending 31.03.2008.**

Sl No.	Name of Appellate Authority & Address	No. of Appeals received during the Quarter	No. of requests rejected during the quarter														Decisions Received from CIC		
			Relevant sections of RTI Act 2005														No. of Decisions received During the Quarter	No. of cases involving penalties and disciplinary action	
			Sec 8(1)										Sections						Total
			a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	9	11	24	Other			
1	Sri. P K Mishra Director (Operations) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam – 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
2	Sri. Y Manohar Director (Personnel) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	03	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	01	-
3	Sri. C G Patil Director (Commercial) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	01	-	-	
4	Sri. K S Shankar Director (Finance) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
5	Sri. M V R Sarma E. D. (CA & S) Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-
6	Sri. S Srinivasan, IAS Chief Vigilance Officer Main Administrative Building Visakhapatnam Steel Plant Visakhapatnam - 530031 (AP)	Nil	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Nil	-	-

**The Progress report in respect of RTI – 2005 for the period from 01.01.2008 to 31.03.2008**

(a)	Number of requests received by each authority.	13
(b)	Number of decisions where applications were not entitled to access the document pursuant to the requests, the provisions of act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	NIL
(c)	The number of appeals referred to central Information Commission for review, the nature of appeals and the outcome of appeals.	In one case, an appeal has been made to the CIC by the information seeker for not receiving the information from CPIO as the information was sought from the office bearer (Secretary) of a Council / Organization under its letter head, which is barred under the provision of the Act, and also as per the decision given by CIC vide decision no. 307/ IC (A) / 2006 dt. 27.09.06. CIC has directed the information seeker, to appear for a personal hearing before the information commissioner on 28.04.2008.
(d)	Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this act.	NIL
(e)	Amount of charges collected by each public authority under this act	Rs.80 /-
(f)	The details to indicate efforts made by the Public Authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this act:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Periodical training was provided to the concerned officer connected with the implementation of RTI Act through the external training programme conducted by National Productive Council (NPC).</li> <li>2) Communication has been made to all the nodal officers / Contact officers dealing with the matter on RTI, to provide as much information on <b>suo motu</b> and for updating the details of information in the 17 manuals available in the RTI portal of the Company website periodically.</li> </ol>

(g)	Suitable suggestions for reform including those required for development, improvement modernization, reform for the amendment of the Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalisation of the Right to access the Information	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="716 69 1476 414">1) There has been no mention in the RTI Act or in the cost and fees rule applicable to the Act, regarding the delivery charge of the information submitted to the information seeker. Since any information furnished is important in nature, it is delivered through Speed Post / Courier / Certificate of Posting, which causes certain amount of expenditure to be incurred by the concerned public authority. Since frequency of receiving the request on RTI is many, there should be some clause with regard to additional fees towards delivery charge unless the information is delivered by hand.</li><li data-bbox="716 446 1476 594">2) Seeking of information by the employees of the same Organization / Public Authority should be barred under the provision of RTI Act, since Organizations have a separate cell for seeking and redressing the grievances of the employees.</li></ol>
-----	--	--